MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF PLANTAIN PSEUDOSTEM

By

IBRAHIM A. AJANI

BSc. Mechanical Engineering (Hons.)

A Thesis submitted to the

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree

Of

MASTER OF SCIENCE (Mechanical Engineering)

College of Engineering

School of Graduate Studies,

SEPTEMBER, 2011

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this work is my own work towards the Master of Science (Mechanical Engineering) and that, to the best of my knowledge, it contains no material previously published by another person nor materials which have been accepted for the award of any degree of the University, except where due acknowledgement has been made in the text.



ABSTRACT

Variations in some mechanical properties of plantain pseudostem for three main varieties in Ghana were examined in the rainy and dry seasons. *Apantu pa*, *Apem pa* and *Apem hemaa* were selected in farms in the Kumasi metropolis during the rainy and dry seasons. Samples of the plantain pseudostem were obtained by cutting down matured plants bearing fruits, 20 cm from the ground and at the petiole.

The strength properties namely; Young's modulus, yield stress and ultimate stress were determined using three - point bending test method which followed the International Standards Organisation (ISO) 178.

The test results showed that the Young's modulus, yield stress and ultimate stress were remarkable high in the rainy season and low in the dry season for all three varieties. *Apantu pa* samples proofed superior to the other two in the rainy season, having the highest value for Young's modulus of 26.49 GPa, yield stress of 1.3 MPa and ultimate stress of 2.17 MPa. In the dry season, the *Apem pa* samples proofed resilent than the other two, having the highest values of 1.41 GPa, 0.32 MPa and 0.64 MPa for Young's modulus, yield stress and ultimate stress and ultimate stress respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my profound gratitude to Almighty God for His sustenance, grace and provision for me to complete this programme. I am very grateful to my supervisors Dr Joshua Ampofo and Dr Emmanuel Ofori for their continual guidance, encouragement and criticism which made me go the extra mile.

Next appreciation goes to Management and staff of Koforidua Polytechnic, especially the School of Engineering for their constant support throughout the programme.

Finally, sincerest thanks go to my family, Mr. and Mrs. Ajani for their prayers, moral support and encouragement throughout the period of the programme.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ΓΓ	I
ACKNOWL	EDGEMENTII	I
LIST OF FIG	GURES VI	I
LIST OF TA	BLESVII	I
ABBREVIA	TIONS AND SYMBOLS X	
CHAPTER 1	1: INTRODUCTION 1	1
	1.1 MOTIVATION.11.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT.21.3 OBJECTIVE.31.4 SCOPE.3	233
CHAPTER 2	2: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1 HISTORY AND DESCRIPTION OF PLANTAIN	5
	2.2 MORPHOLOGY OF PLANTAIN	5
	2.3 NUTRITIONAL VALUES OF PLANTAIN	1
	2.4 GROUPS OF PLANTAIN	8
	2.5 TYPES OF PLANTAIN CULTIVARS IN GHANA)
	2.6 PLANTAIN PRODUCI <mark>NG REGION</mark> S IN GHANA	2
	2.7 FARMING SEASONS	4
	2.8 PLANTAIN FARMING CONSTRAINTS 14	4
	2.8.1 BIOLOGICAL CONSTRAINTS	4
	2.8.2 CLIMATE CONSTRAINTS 15	5
	2.8.3 SOIL RELATED CONSTRAINTS	5
2	2.9 PLANTAIN PSEUDOSTEM LODGING 17	7
CHAPTER 3	3: MATERIALS AND METHODS 21	l
3	3.1 INTRODUCTION	1
3	3.2 MATERIALS	1
3	3.3 METHOD	3
3	3.4 PROCEDURE	3

3.5 EQUATIONS
3.5.1 MECHANICAL PROPERTIES
3.5.2 DESIGN WIND PRESSURE AND WIND SPEED
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
4.1 RAINY SEASON
4.1.1 LOAD-DEFLECTION PLOT
4.1.2 MECHANICAL PROPERTIES
4.1.2.1 YOUNG'S MODULUS 40
4.1.2.2 YIELD STRESS 40
4.1.2.3 ULTIMATE STRESS 40
4.2 DRY SEASON
4.2.1 LOAD-DEFLECTION PLOT
4.2.2 MECHANICAL PROPERTIES 49
4.2.2.1 YOUNG'S MODULUS 49
4.2.2.2 YIELD STRESS
4.2.2.3 ULTIMATE STRESS
4.3 EFFECTS OF CHANGE IN SEASON ON RESULTS
4.4 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
4.5 DESIGN WIND PRESSURE AND SPEED
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
5.1 CONCLUSIONS 59
5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS
REFERENCES
APPENDIX A: Load-Deflection Tables for the Rainy Season
APPENDIX B: Load-Deflection Tables for the Dry Season
APPENDIX C: Calculation Procedure
APPENDIX D: Statistical Analysis

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Plantains at a roadside market in Ghana2
Figure 2-1: Morphology of plantain [42]7
Figure 2-2: Types of inflorescence of the different groups of plantain [42]9
Figure 2-3: Ghana's agro-ecological zones and administrative regions [8]13
Figure 2-4: Root lodging of a backyard plantain plant
Figure 2-5: Stem lodging of a backyard plantain plant17
Figure 3-1: Pseudostem samples at the Civil Engineering laboratory, KNUST22
Figure 3-2: Sketch of the experimental setup23
Figure 3-3: Setup of the three point bending test of the pseudostem sample24
Figure 3-4: A failed pseudostem sample under loading for the three point test24
Figure 3-5: Simply supported beam with concentrated load
Figure 3-6: Sketch of the dimension of samples
Figure 3-7: Cantilever with UDL
Figure 4-1: Graph of load-deflection for <i>Apantu pa</i> sample one (1), Rainy season
Figure 4-2: Graph of load-deflection for <i>Apantu pa</i> sample two (2), Rainy season35
Figure 4-3: Graph of load-deflection for <i>Apantu pa</i> sample three (3), Rainy season35
Figure 4-4: Graph of load-deflection for <i>Apem pa</i> sample one (1), Rainy season36
Figure 4-5: Graph of load-deflection for <i>Apem pa</i> sample two (2), Rainy season36
Figure 4-6: Graph of load-deflection for <i>Apem pa</i> sample three (3), Rainy season37
Figure 4-7: Graph of load-deflection for <i>Apemhemaa</i> sample one (1), Rainy season37
Figure 4-8: Graph of load-deflection for <i>Apemhemaa</i> sample two (2), Rainy season
Figure 4-9: Graph of load-deflection for <i>Apemhemaa</i> sample three (3), Rainy season38
Figure 4-10: Young's modulus of plantain pseudostem samples, Rainy season

Figure 4-11: Yield stress of plantain pseudostem samples, Rainy season42
Figure 4-12: Ultimate stress of plantain pseudostem samples, Rainy season43
Figure 4-13: Graph of load-deflection for <i>Apantu pa</i> sample one (1), Dry season44
Figure 4-14: Graph of load-deflection for <i>Apantu pa</i> sample two (2), Dry season44
Figure 4-15: Graph of load-deflection for <i>Apantu pa</i> sample three (3), Dry season45
Figure 4-16: Graph of load-deflection for <i>Apem pa</i> sample one (1), Dry season45
Figure 4-17: Graph of load-deflection for <i>Apem pa</i> sample two (2), Dry season46
Figure 4-18: Graph of load-deflection for <i>Apem pa</i> sample three (3), Dry season46
Figure 4-19: Graph of load-deflection for <i>Apemhemaa</i> sample one (1), Dry season47
Figure 4-20: Graph of load-deflection for <i>Apemhemaa</i> sample two (2), Dry season47
Figure 4-21: Graph of load-deflection for <i>Apemhemaa</i> sample three (3), Dry season48
Figure 4-22: Young's modulus of plantain pseudostem samples, Dry season51
Figure 4-23: Yield stress of plantain pseudostem samples, Dry season
Figure 4-24: Ultimate stress of plantain pseudostem samples, Dry season
Figure 4-25: Rainy and Dry season Young's modulus of plantain pseudostem samples53
Figure 4-26: Rainy and Dry season Yield stress of plantain pseudostem samples53
Figure 4-27: Rainy and Dry season Ultimate stress of plantain pseudostem samples54
W J SANE NO BAD

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Varieties of Plantain in Ghana [30]
Table 2-2: Characteristics of agro-ecological zones in Ghana [8]
Table 2-3: Velocity pressure exposure coefficients [2]19
Table 2-4: Wind directionality factor [2]20
Table 2-5: Force coefficient [2]20
Table 3-1: Groups, varieties, quantities and locations of samples used
Table 3-2: Rainy season plantain pseudostem samples dimensions
Table 3-3: Dry season plantain pseudostem samples dimensions
Table 4-1: Rainy season Mechanical properties of Plantain pseudostem samples41
Table 4-2: Average Rainy season Mechanical properties of Plantain pseudostem samples41
Table 4-3: Dry season Mechanical properties of Plantain pseudostem samples
Table 4-4: Average Dry season Mechanical properties of Plantain pseudostem samples50
Table 4-5: Design wind pressure and wind speed for Rainy season
Table 4-6: Average Rainy season wind pressure and design wind speed of samples
Table 4-7: Design wind pressure and wind speed for Dry season
Table 4-8: Average Dry season wind pressure and design wind speed of samples
Table A-1: Load-Deflection of Apantu pa pseudostem sample one (1), Rainy season65
Table A-2: Load-Deflection of Apantu pa pseudostem sample two (2), Rainy season65
Table A-3: Load-Deflection of Apantu pa pseudostem sample three (3), Rainy season66
Table A-4: Load-Deflection of Apem pa pseudostem sample one (1), Rainy season
Table A-5: Load-Deflection of Apem pa pseudostem sample two (2), Rainy season67
Table A-6: Load-Deflection of Apem pa pseudostem sample three (3), Rainy season67
Table A-7: Load-Deflection of Apemhemaa pseudostem sample (1), Rainy season68
Table A-8: Load-Deflection of Apemhemaa pseudostem sample two (2), Rainy season68
Table A-9: Load-Deflection of <i>Apemhemaa</i> pseudostem sample three (3), Rainy season69

Table B-1: Load-Deflection of Apantu pa pseudostem sample one (1), Dry season70
Table B-2: Load-Deflection of Apantu pa pseudostem sample two (2), Dry season
Table B-3: Load-Deflection of Apantu pa pseudostem sample three (3), Dry season70
Table B-4: Load-Deflection of Apem pa pseudostem sample one (1), Dry season71
Table B-5: Load-Deflection of Apem pa pseudostem sample two (2), Dry season71
Table B-6: Load-Deflection of Apem pa pseudostem sample three (3), Dry season72
Table B-7: Load-Deflection of Apemhemaa pseudostem sample one (1), Dry season
Table B-8: Load-Deflection of Apemhemaa pseudostem sample two (2), Dry season73
Table B-9: Load-Deflection of Apemhemaa pseudostem sample three (3), Dry season73
Table D-1: Analysis of variance between the Plantain varieties for Young's Modulus77
Table D-2: Analysis of variance between the Rainy and Dry seasons for the Young's Modulus
Table D-3: Analysis of variance between the Plantain varieties for Yield Stress
Table D-4: Analysis of variance between the Rainy and Dry seasons for the Yield Stress78
Table D-5: Analysis of variance between the Plantain varieties for Ultimate Stress
Table D-6: Analysis of variance between the Rainy and Dry seasons for the Ultimate Stress

ABBREVIATION AND SYMBOLS

ASCE - American Society of Civil Engineers

- A Area
- F Axial concentrated load
- M Bending moment
- CILSS Comité Inter-Etate pour la Lutte Contre la Sécheresse au Sahel

KNUST

- CRI-Crop Research Institute
- $C_1-Constant \ value$
- C_2 Constant value
- Δy Change in deflection
- ΔF Change in force
- y-Deflection
- x Displacement
- d Diameter
- c Fiber distance
- FHIA Fundación Hondurena de Investigción Agricola
- z Height
- I Important factor
- IITA International Institute of Tropical Agriculture
- ISO -- International Organisation for Standards
- IU International Units

L – Length

- $y_{max}-Maximum \ deflection$
- $\sigma_m-Maximum \ allowable \ stress$
- I Second moment of area
- K_{zt} Topographic factor
- $F_u-Ultimate \ force$
- $\sigma_u Ultimate \ stress$
- w, UDL Universally distributed load
- q_z Velocity pressure
- K_z Velocity pressure exposure coefficient
- K_d Wind directionality factor
- $V-Wind \ speed$
- $F_y Yield \ force$
- $\sigma_y Yield \ stress$
- E Young's modulus

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 MOTIVATION

According to the year 2000 census in Ghana, more than half of Ghana's workforce is directly engaged in agricultural activities. More than 90% of farm holdings are less than two (2) hectares (ha) in size and are subsistence farms yet they contribute 80% to Ghana's total agricultural output. Ghana's agricultural outputs include; cereals (maize, rice, sorghum, millet), roots and tubers (cassava, yam, cocoyam), plantain, cash crops (cocoa, oil palm, coconut, coffee, cotton) fruits and vegetables (pineapples, citrus, banana, cashew, tomato, pepper, okro, onion) [19].

Plantain is one of Ghana's staple foods, and its cultivation has become a feature of great socio-economic importance from the point of view of food security and job creation. More than 90% of the cultivated area in Ghana belongs to small holder farming system [19].

Plantain production has increased steadily over the years. In 2008/2009 farming season, the production was 3,338,000 metric tons over a cropped area of 311,800 hectares, whiles in 2009/2010, production increased to 3,587,000 metric tons over a cropped area of 329,000 hectares. The percentage increase was 7% [12].

According to the preliminary domestic food supply and demand position in 2009/2010, the gross domestic production of plantain was 3,587,000 metric tons, 3,048,950 metric tons was the total available domestic production for human consumption with a per capita consumption of 84.8 kg/annum. The national consumption was estimated to be 2,037,700 metric tons [12]. Figure 1-1 shows plantain ready for sale at a roadside market in Ghana.

This study is to help reduce the incidence of plantain lodging by determining the mechanical properties of the plantain pseudostem in Ghana.



Figure 1-1: Plantains at a roadside market in Ghana

1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

Plantain farming in Ghana is mainly at small and large scale levels. Small scale plantain farming is normally on a household level having the farm at the backyard. The large scale plantain farming is one that covers several hectares of land. A plantain farm can have from ten to several hundreds of plantain mats; a plantain mat carries three to four plantain plants.

There are three major varieties of plantain on the Ghanaian market, namely, *Apantu, Apem* and *Apemhemaa*. Both the *Apantu* and *Apem*, which are landraces (local) varieties, are more prone to fungal disease and pests. The hybrid plantains, example is the *Apemhemaa*, were introduced to supplement the landraces. They are more disease tolerant and produce more fruits (yields) as compared to the landraces.

However, it was observed that, for both the landraces and the hybrids, plantain plants were been toppled over or lodged by strong winds at certain times of the year. The lodging observed was of two types, root lodging and stem lodging. Plants with infected pseudostem and fruit carrying ones were seen to be lodged more often by steady winds. But most of the plantain plants were lodged over by strong winds. In the rainy season, strong winds accompany the rains, and the pressures of the winds are strong enough to cause lodging. In the case of the dry season, the wind pressures are considerably low, but plantain plant lodging was observed.

1.3 OBJECTIVE

The main objective of this thesis was to determine the effects of seasonal change on the mechanical properties of three main Ghanaian plantain variety pseudostem.

The specific objectives are:

- To determine the Young's modulus of selected plantain pseudostems for the rainy and dry seasons.
- To determine the yield stress of selected plantain pseudostems for the rainy and dry seasons.
- To determine the ultimate stress of selected plantain pseudostems for the rainy and dry seasons.
- To estimate the wind speeds to cause stem lodging in both the rainy and dry season.

1.4 SCOPE

Three main varieties of plantain in Ghana were covered in this work. They are *Apantu pa*, *Apem pa* and *Apemhemaa*. Tests were performed on plantain pseudostem samples obtained during the rainy season and dry season.

Chapter 2 reviews literature, the history, morphology, types, producing regions, farming season and constraints of plantain in Ghana. The constraints comprised of biological, climate and soil related.

The method, materials and procedure followed to perform the test are presented in Chapter 3. As part of the test, instruments such as vernier calipers, tape measure, three - point bending supports and meter rule were available at the laboratory. Pseudostem samples were collected from nearby farms in both the rainy and dry season. Mathematical equations used throughout the work are presented in this chapter.

Chapter 4 presents the load – deflection curves for each sample under the two farming seasons. The curves informed the values of the Young's modulus, yield stress and ultimate stress. Discussion of the results and effects of the change in farming season on the Young's modulus, yield stress and ultimate stress of the pseudostem samples are also presented here. The mechanical properties of samples from the rainy and dry season were compared for the various cultivars.

SANE N

Conclusion and recommendations of the thesis are also presented in Chapter 5.

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 HISTORY AND DESCRIPTION

Plantains and bananas plants are crop in the genus *Musa* and are giant perennial herbs which originated from Southeast Asia. Plantain and bananas are monocotyledonus plants, belonging to the section *Eumusa* within the genus *Musa* of the family *Musacease* in the other *Scitamineae*. Plantain and banana cultivars evolved by natural hybridization between the two species, *M. acuminate* (contributing genome A) and *M. balbisiana* (contributing genome B) [42].

All plantains and almost all important bananas are triploid. Triploid cultivars have genome combination of AAA, AAB, and ABB. Most plantain and banana hybrid cultivars are of tetraploid type ie, AAAA, AABB, and ABBB [45].

The world's production of plantain between 2000 and 2002 is 25,309,000 metric tons (Mt). Out of which Africa contributes 22,478,000 Mt of the world's production. The Americas contributes 1,835,000 Mt whiles Asia contributes about 996,000 Mt.

Africa leads the world's production by 89% followed by the Americas 7% and the least producing region is Asia with 4%.

Ghana is one of the leading producing countries of plantain in Africa. Other countries include Uganda, Rwanda, Nigeria and Cote d'Ivoire.

In the Americas, Colombia, Peru, Venezuela, Ecuador and Cuba are the leading producing countries producing plantains. Sri Lanka and Myanmar are the only leading plantain producers in Asia. Other areas that produce plantain include the Southern United States, Puerto Rico, Hawaii, Bolivia, Brazil, Cameroon, Egypt, Taiwan, Thailand, India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines and Northern Australia [45].

Environmental conditions have profound influence on the production of plantain. There are three main conditions to enable good growth and they are temperature, moisture and soil condition.

Plantains grow best between 20°C and 30°C. The optimum for the dry matter accumulation is about 20°C and for the appearance of new leaves is 30°C. With temperatures above 38°C, the growth of the plantain plant stops [6] but under irrigation, this is prevented.

An average of 100 mm amount of rainfall per month would supply the required amount of moisture needed for the growth of plantain plant [45].

Plantains can be grown on a wide range of soils provided there is good drainage and adequate fertility. The best soils are usually deep, well drained, water retentive loams with high humus content. Soil pH of 5.5 - 6.5 is desirable [45].

Constraints in plantain production include diseases, pests, weeds, soil fertility, lodging, finance and marketing [40].

2.2 MORPHOLOGY OF PLANTAIN

A plantain plant consists of basically the roots, pseudo-stem, leaves and inflorescence. Figure 2-1 shows the parts of the plantain plant.

The roots system consists of primary, secondary and tertiary roots. Secondary roots are those that develop on primary roots, while tertiary roots develop on the secondary roots. The primary roots have the explorer roots and the feeder roots. The explorer roots are mainly for anchorage and are thicker than feeder roots. Feeder roots take up water and nutrients and usually grow from explorer roots [42].

Pseudo-stem is the cylindrical structure growing from the corm and carrying the foliage. The pseudo-stem is not wood because plantain crops are giant herbs, not trees. It consists of tight

packing of overlapping leaf sheaths [42]. The pseudo-stem offers support for the leaves and inflorescence. The function of the pseudostem is purely connective and provides vascular connection between roots, leaves on one hand and the inflorescence on the other.

The inflorescence, also known as the bunch, is the collection of the plantain fingers (fruits) on a fruit stalk. There are types of inflorescence, and this depends on the type of variety.



Figure 2-1: Morphology of plantain [42]

2.3 NUTRITIONAL VALUES OF PLANTAIN

Plantain is relatively high in calories at 125 per cup. One cup cooked plantain yields a trace of fat, 2.3 g dietary fiber, 465 mg potassium, 26 mcg folate, 10.9 mg vitamin C, 909 IU vitamin A, 32 mg magnesium and 3H carbohydrate. They are known to be a great source of calcium, vitamins A, B₁, B₂, B₃, B₆, C and minerals such as potassium and phosphorus [25].

Plantains are useful in managing patients with high blood pressure and heart diseases because they are low in sodium, very little fat and no cholesterol. They are also ideal for patients with gout or arthritis because they are free from substances that give rise to uric acid [25].



2.4 GROUPS OF PLANTAIN

There are four (4) main groups of plantains. They are mostly differentiated by; completeness of inflorescence at maturity, presence of neutral flowers and male bud at maturity, number of hands, and number and weight of fingers [42].

The four main groups are; French plantain, French horn plantain, False horn plantain and True horn plantain.

The French plantain has a complete inflorescence at maturity. This variety can achieve a height of about 2.5 m and circumference of 600 mm. It produces between 30-38 leaves before fruiting and takes 12 months to produce a mature bunch. The bunch carries as many as 6-12 hands and 60-170 small fingers [42].

The False Horn plantain variety has smaller number of hands as compared to the French plantain but larger fingers. The False Horn bunch can carry as many as 5-12 hands and 25-80 fingers. There are neutral flowers and no male bud at maturity. The inflorescence is incomplete.

The French horn plantain also has an incomplete inflorescence, no male bud but many neutral flowers at maturity. French horn plantain usually has 7-8 number of hands and fingers of 30-85.

The True Horn plantain variety usually has 1-5 hands. The fingers are few in number, 1-50. The True Horn plantains are longer and stouter than the False Horn plantains [42]. Figure 2-2 shows the various type of inflorescence of the different groups of plantain.



Figure 2-2: Types of inflorescence of the different groups of plantain [42]

2.5 TYPES OF PLANTAIN CULTIVARS IN GHANA

Out of the four main groups of plantain, three (3) are present in Ghana; they are the False horn plantain, True horn and French plantain [30].

There are also hybrids of plantains in Ghana. The hybrids were developed to be resistant to the major diseases affecting the three main groups. Some of the hybrids are FHIA-21, FHIA-01, FHIA-03 and FHIA-25 [16].

The False horn plantain, True horn plantain and French plantain are considered as local landraces and they have various varieties in the country. Locally *Apantu* and *Apem* are the names given to the False horn plantain and the French plantain respectively [30]. Table 2-1 shows the various varieties of plantain in Ghana.

In 2005, majority of farmers planted the local races. The local races had an adoption rate of 87.1% and the hybrid plantain had 12.9%. The False horn plantain had 73%, French plantain had 12.1% and the True horn plantain had 2.0%. *Apantu pa* and *Asamienu* were the only varieties planted for the False horn and True horn plantain respectively. *Apem pa* contributed 10.9% out of 12.1% for the French plantain whiles *Apemhemaa* hybrid plantain contributed 9.7% out of the 12.9% for the hybrid plantain [16].



Group	Local variety	Translation / Description	
False horn plantainApantu pa / Brode pa		True Apantu	
	Apantu Kwakuo	Plantain eaten by monkeys	
	Anwanwii	From Anwanwii, Cote d' Ivoire	
	Adoso	Many fingers	
	Abomienu / Adurommienu	Bears two bunches	
	Abomiensa / Adurommiensa	Bears three bunches	
	Brode sebo	Tiger skinned plantain	
	Brode yuo	Pink pseudostem	
	Brode Kwakwaa	Plantain named after "Kwakwaa"	
	Kwakuo ntorowa	Monkey's garden egg	
	Nyiretia Apantu	Short finger Apantu	
	Osoboaso Apantu	Resembles	
	Sakro	One hand	
True horn plantain	Asamienu	Two hands	
	Asamiensa	Three hands	
French plantain	Apem pa	True / Ordinary French plantain	
	Adoso	Huge with loose hands	
	Apemtia	Dwarf Apem	
	Afua kuma	Named after a woman born on Friday	
	Ape <mark>m fit</mark> aa	White Apem	
	Brode hene	Chief of plantain	
	Nyiretia Apem	Short fingers	
	Osabum/ Ogyebim	Many hands	
	Osoboaso Apem	Over produced Apem	
	Oniaba	Without seed	
	Owudwo	Produces ten	
	Soaduasa	Produces thirty fingers	

Table 2-1: Varieties of Plantain in Ghana [30]

2.6 PLANTAIN PRODUCING REGIONS IN GHANA

Ghana is situated in the centre of the countries along the Gulf of Guinea in West Africa. The country has an area of 238,530 square kilometers and lies between latitudes 4°44' and 11°11' N and longitudes 01°12' and 03°11' W. Ghana is bordered on the east, west and north by the Republic of Togo, Cote d'Ivoire and Burkina Faso respectively. On the south, Ghana is bordered by the Atlantic Ocean. The sea coast is 550 km long [27].

Administratively, Ghana is divided into ten regions as follows (capital towns in brackets): Ashanti (Kumasi), Brong Ahafo (Suyani), Central (Cape coast), Eastern (Koforidua), Greater Accra (Accra), Northern (Tamale), Upper East (Bolgatanga), Upper West (Wa), Volta (Ho) and Western (Sekondi-Takoradi) [27].

There are three agro-ecological zones; Coastal, Forest and Savannah zone in Ghana. These agro-ecological zones cut across the ten administrative regional boundaries. As shown in Table 2-2, the three agro-ecological zones can be further divided into sub-zones. The sub-zones differ in terms of average annual rainfall, type of vegetation, agriculture and livelihoods [8].

In Ghana, the Forest zone is the leading producing zone for plantain production. The forest zone stretches across the Brong Ahafo, Ashanti, Eastern, Western and some part of the Volta region. Figure 2-3 shows Ghana's agro-ecological zones and administrative regions

Agro-ecological zone	Sub-zone	Annual rainfall range (mm)	Main food crops	
Coastal	Coastal Savannah	600 - 1,200	Roots, maize	
Forest	Rain Forest	800 - 2,800	Roots, plantain	
	Deciduous Forest	1,200 - 1,600	Roots, plantain	
	Transitional	1,100 - 1,400	Maize, roots, plantain	
Northern Savannah	Guinea Savannah	800 - 1,200	Sorghum, maize	
	Sudan Savannah	800 - 1,100	Millet, sorghum,	
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I				



Figure 2-3: Ghana's agro-ecological zones and administrative regions [8]

2.7 FARMING SEASONS

Generally, there are two main farming seasons in Ghana; they are the rainy season and the dry season. The rainy season begins in April and ends in October whiles the dry season begins in November and ends in late March [40].

Rainfall determines largely the type of agricultural enterprised carried out in the various agroecological zones. The rain forest, deciduous forest, transitional and coastal zones experience bimodal rainfall pattern which gives rise to major and minor farming seasons. Unimodal rainfall distribution in the Guinea savannah and Sudan savannah of the northern zone gives rise to a single farming season.

The bimodal regions in Ghana include Western, Eastern, Ashanti, Brong Ahafo and parts of Volta and Central region. The major farming season is between the months of March and July whiles the minor farming season is between September and November [8].

The single farming season in the northern zone is between May and September [8].

2.8 PLANTAIN FARMING CONSTRAINTS

Yields losses in plantain production can be classified as soil related, biological and climate [25].

2.8.1 BIOLOGICAL CONSTRAINTS

Plantains are susceptible to a wide range of diseases and pests. Some pest and diseases are highly aggressive, very contagious and spread easily. They are persistent and practically difficult to eradicate once established.

Some of the diseases affecting plantain production in Ghana include Witting, Black Sigakota, pseudostem rot, nematodes and heart rot [30].

Witting occurs when fungi clogs the plant's vascular system hence reducing the plant's ability to transpire and grow. Black Sigakota (*Mycosphaerella fijensis*) is a leaf spot disease caused by a fungus. Pseudostem rot is another fungal disease. It affects the pseudostem and may cause it to fall prematurely. The rot lowers the fibre quality through discolouration. Nematodes are parasitic to the plantain plants and are detrimental to the plant's health. Heart rot is a fungal disease that causes the decay of the plantain plant.

Pests that lower the yields in plantain production can be grouped into two, major and minor pests. The major pests include grasshoppers, banana weevils, black ants, termites, mealy bugs and rhinoceros beetle. The minor pests include birds, rodents and monkeys [30].

2.8.2 CLIMATE CONSTRAINTS

Wind is the single climate constraint that affects the plantain production. Winds of 15 m/s and above can topple plants especially when they carry plantain bunch [5]. Height has a dramatic affect on wind tolerance. Steady winds cause significant leaf shredding, leaf drying and the distortion of the crown. In case of extreme winds, complete or partial toppling of the entire plant occurs. Wind causes more damage if the underground corm is weakened by insects or diseases [38]. Leaf tearing due to wind reduces bunch weight by 50% [5].

2.8.3 SOIL RELATED CONSTRAINTS

Soil fertility is one major factor that influences the yields in plantain production. Majority of farmers perform intercropping of plantain over a long period and do not practice any soil fertility maintenance on the farms. This gradually reduces the fertility of the soil. Some ways to maintain the soil fertility is the use of fertilizer or green manure [16].

2.9 PLANTAIN PSEUDOSTEM LODGING

Pseudostem lodging is the term used to describe the toppling of the plantain's pseudostem. Pseudostem lodging is one of the pre-harvest production losses in Ghana. It lowers yields dramatically. There are two main types of lodging; Root lodging and Stem lodging [40].

Root lodging occurs when the plantain plant is toppled over at the roots while Stem lodging occurs when the plantain plant is snapped at the pseudostem and toppled over. Figure 2-4 shows root lodging and Figure 2-5 shows stem lodging.



Figure 2-4: Root lodging of a backyard plantain plant



Figure 2-5: Stem lodging of a backyard plantain plant

A strong wind is the main factor of stem lodging and loose soil is the main factor for root lodging. Other factors include insects, nematodes, weight of bunch and height of plantain plant. Insects such as banana weevils bore through the pseudostem hence reducing the fibre quality. Nematodes such as burrowing nematodes burrow through the soil around the roots of the plants and therefore make the base of the plant weak against strong winds. Also, most pseudostem that are tall and have small girth size are mostly prone to wind damage. Occasionally, the weight of the bunch makes the pseudostem bend towards the bunch and with steady winds; the pseudostem will lodge [40].

2-10: WIND LOADS

Wind is caused by difference is pressure [49]. When there is a difference in pressure, air is accelerated from a higher to a lower pressure. The basic wind speed is defined by the

American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) as the three second gust speed at 10m above ground in a specified exposure category [2].

The basic wind speeds that causes stem lodging of plantain pseudostem are usually high and are in the company of rainstorms and hurricane. 40-72 km/h (11-20 m/s) is the range of basic wind speed expected to cause lodging, especially pseudostem carrying fruits [38].

Design wind pressure, according to the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), is the equivalent static pressures to be used in the determination of wind loads [2]. There are three allowed procedure use to determine the design wind loads and these are (1) Simplified procedure (2) Analytical procedure and (3) Wind tunnel [2].

The Analytical procedure is the typical procedure used to determined design wind pressure on structures [39]. In this procedure, wind velocity pressure, gust factor and pressure coefficient are factors considered in determining design wind pressure. The wind velocity pressure is the pressure of the moving wind on the surface whiles the pressure coefficient accounts for varying pressure across the structure [39]. The gust factor accounts for the dynamic interaction between the flowing wind and the structure. The gust factor for a stiff structure is given as 0.85 [39].

The wind pressure is determined from the direction of the wind, terrain at which the structure is sited, height of the structure and the geometry of the structure surface. These determinants are velocity pressure coefficient (K_z), topographic factor (K_{zt}), wind directionality factor (K_d), basic wind speed (v) and important factor (I). Wind directionality factor accounts for the wind direction and structure geometry. Velocity pressure coefficient takes into accounts the wind pressures on the types of structure surfaces. Important factor also accounts for the degree of hazard to human life and damage to property. Topographic factor accounts for the various type of exposure of structures at various terrain types [39].

Exposure categories are based on the ground surface roughness, which is determined from the natural topography, vegetation and construction facilities. Ground surface roughness are in three groups; Surface roughness B, refers to urban and suburban areas, wooded areas or other terrain with numerous closely spaced obstruction having the size of a single family dwellings or larger; Surface roughness C, refers to open terrain with scattered obstructions having heights less than 9.1m and; Surface roughness D, refers to flat, unobstructed areas and water surfaces outside hurricane prone regions [2]. Table 2-3 shows the velocity pressure exposure coefficient for different exposure categories at different heights.

Table 2-4 shows the wind directionality factor of different types of geometry of structure and Table 2-5 shows the force coefficient of the wind pressure with respect to the cross section, type of surface (smooth or rough) and the ratio of the height to the diameter (h/D).

Height above		Exp	posure	
ground level		В	С	D
(m)	Case 1	Case 2	Case 1 & 2	Case 1 & 2
(0-4.6)	0.70	0.57	0.85	1.03
(6.1)	0.70	0.62	0.90	1.08
(7.6)	0.70	0.66	0.94	1.12
(9.1)	0.70	0.70	0.98	1.16

 Table 2-3: Velocity pressure exposure coefficients [2]

Structure type	Directionality Factor
Chimneys, Tanks, and Similar	
Structures	
• Square	0.90
• Hexagonal	0.95
Round	0.95

Table 2-5: Force coefficient [2]

Types of Surface **Cross-Section** h/D 1 7 25 Moderately smooth Round 0.5 0.6 0.7 Rough 0.7 0.8 0.9 Very rough 0.8 0.2 1.0

KNUST



CHAPTER 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 INTRODUCTION

To obtain the mechanical strength of selected plantain cultivars, the study was carried out in three main steps. The first is to obtain the agronomic parameters that are the diameter at the tip, diameter at the base and the length of the selected plantain cultivars. The second is to plot the various loads against the deflections corresponding to the various loads obtain the load-deflection curve of each sample. The third is to determine the Young's modulus from the curves, the yield strength, ultimate stress and then compare the various curves and Young's modulus. The study was performed in the rainy season and dry season. Nine tests for both the rainy and dry season. Prior to the commencement of the tests, the plantain cultivar samples were moved from the various farms to the Civil Engineering laboratory (KNUST).

3.2 MATERIALS

The study was carried out in the Civil Engineering laboratory of the Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology (K.N.U.S.T), Kumasi. Materials used are plantain pseudostems, three point bending supports, vernier calipers, tape measure, weighting scale, weights, pointer and a metre rule. Plantain pseudostems were randomly selected at the farms and they were all healthy, 10-12 months old and had healthy roots. Plantain pseudostem samples were obtained from the Crop Research Institute (C.R.I) farm of the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (C.S.I.R), Kumasi, backyard farms of Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology (K.N.U.S.T), Kumasi and backyard farm at Boadi in Kumasi. The samples obtained in the Rainy season were observed to be heavier than those from the dry season. The pseudostem of each plantain plant was taken from the petiole and twenty (20) cm above ground. Table 3-1 shows the varieties, quantities and locations of the pseudostem samples.

CDOUD		O	T t'
GROUP	variety	Quantity	Location
False horn plantain	Apantu pa	2	K.N.U.S.T
±			
French plantain	Anem na	2	KNUST
r tenen plantam	npem pu	-	
False have alastain	A	2	CDI
Faise norn plantain	Арани ра	Z	C.R.I
		-	
French plantain	Apem pa	12 1	C.R.I
_			
Hybrid	Apemhemaa	200	C.R.I
False horn plantain	Apantu pa	2	Boadi
Parse norn prantam	Арати ра	2	Doadi
French plantain	Apem pa	2	Boadi
		101	
Hvbrid	Apemhemaa	4	Boadi
J	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		

Table 3-1: Groups, varieties, quantities and locations of samples used



Figure 3-1: Pseudostem samples at the Civil Engineering laboratory, KNUST

3.3 METHOD

The method used in this study is the three (3) point bending test, also known as the Flexural bending test. The test conforms to ISO 178, in which case, the test is stopped when sample fails.

The three (3) point bending test is when the sample is placed between two supports at the ends of the sample, and loading is applied at the middle span to produce a deflection. There are three main parameters of the three (3) point bending test; they are the support span, loading and the maximum deflection. From this test, the Young Modulus and ultimate stress can be found for the sample or specimen.

Figure 3-2 shows the sketch of the experimental setup



Figure 3-2: Sketch of the experimental setup

3.4 PROCEDURE

The lengths and diameters of the pseudostem samples were recorded, for both the rainy and dry seasons' samples. The diameters obtained were from the tip, mid and bottom sections of the pseudostem samples. Specimen length and span were also recored and tabulated. Table 5-2 and Table 5-3 show the dimensions of the pseudostem samples obtained.

The pseudostem was placed carefully on the two supports as shown in Figure 3-3. A pointer and a meter rule were set up to record the deflection along the neutral axis. At the mid span, the pseudostem was loaded increasingly at an interval of 5 seconds and the corresponding deflection was recorded. The test ended when the pseudostem sample failed by breaking. Figure 3-4 shows a sample that failed under loading.



Figure 3-3: Setup of the three point bending test of the pseudostem sample



Figure 3-4: A failed pseudostem sample under loading for the three point test
Plantain cultivars	A	Apantu pa		Apem pa		Apem-hemaa			
Test samples	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Length of samples (m)	2.84	2.90	2.67	1.91	1.85	1.87	2.32	2.11	2.27
Length of span (m)	2.24	2.20	2.07	1.31	1.25	1.27	1.72	1.51	1.67
Circumference at the tip (m)	0.18	0.17	0.15	0.41	0.384	0.39	0.351	0.299	0.327
Circumference at the middle (m)	0.22	0.20	0.20	0.50	0.477	0.482	0.473	0.448	0.45
Circumference at the base (m)	0.69	0.66	0.67	0.63	0.624	0.625	0.682	0.615	0.636

Table 3-2: Rainy season plantain pseudostem samples dimensions

Table 3-3: Dry season plantain pseudostem samples dimensions

Plantain cultivars	A	a <mark>pantu</mark> p	a	Apem pa		Apem hemaa		aa	
Test samples	1	2	3	SANE N	2	3	1	2	3
Length of samples (m)	2.20	2.67	2.17	2.528	2.46	2.33	2.20	2.32	2.27
Length of span (m)	1.60	2.07	1.57	1.928	1.86	1.73	1.60	1.72	1.67
Circumference at the tip (m)	0.34	0.34	0.323	0.346	0.451	0.352	0.348	0.390	0.361
Circumference at the middle (m)	0.40	0.435	0.39	0.464	0.518	0.480	0.430	0.496	0.47
Circumference at the base (m)	0.57	0.621	0.55	0.531	0.745	0.60	0.626	0.682	0.657

3.5 EQUATIONS

3.5.1 Mechanical properties

For simply supported beams, subjected to a point load at the mid span, as shown in Figure 3-5 below, the maximum deflection and bending moment occurs at the mid span.



Figure 3-5: Simply supported beam with concentrated load

Applying the Euler-Bernoulli beam analysis equation



Integrating once more,





Figure 3-6: Sketch of the dimension of samples

From Figure 3-6, dx is the elemental diameter at the perpendicular distance x from d2.

Solving for *dx*;



From the Euler-Bernoulli bending equation;

. .



 q_z = Wind velocity pressure

G =Gust factor

 C_f = Pressure coefficient

The wind velocity, q_z is expressed in meters per second (m/s) as;



Upon substitution, the wind pressure becomes;







4.1 RAINY SEASON

4.1.1 LOAD-DEFLECTION PLOT

After the three point test, the mid span deflections with the corresponding loads were tabulated and use to obtain a plot of load against deflection. The results obtained from the *Apantu pa* samples are shown in Table A-1, Table A-2 and Table A-3 whiles the plots are showed in Figure 4-1, Figure 4-2 and Figure 4-3. The *Apem pa* samples results are also tabulated in Table A-4, Table A-5 and Table A-6 and the respective plots are in Figure 4-4, Figure 4-5 and Figure 4-6. Table 4-7, Table 4-8 and Table 4-9 shows the results of the *Apemhemaa* and Figure A-7, Figure A-8 and Figure A-9 shows the respective plots.



Figure 4-1: Graph of load-deflection for Apantu pa sample one (1), Rainy season



Figure 4-2: Graph of load-deflection for *Apantu pa* sample two (2), Rainy season



Figure 4-3: Graph of load-deflection for Apantu pa sample three (3), Rainy season



Figure 4-4: Graph of load-deflection for Apem pa sample one (1), Rainy season



Figure 4-5: Graph of load-deflection for Apem pa sample two (2), Rainy season



Figure 4-6: Graph of load-deflection for *Apem pa* sample three (3), Rainy season



Figure 4-7: Graph of load-deflection for Apemhemaa sample one (1), Rainy season



Figure 4-8: Graph of load-deflection for Apemhemaa sample two (2), Rainy season



Figure 4-9: Graph of load-deflection for Apemhemaa sample three (3), Rainy season

The main mode of failure of the entire plantain pseudostem samples is by breaking. This happens when the bending moment created by loading is more than the moment of resistance of the pseudostem.

The samples obtained during the rainy season deflected proportionally up to the 267N load mark. The *Apantu pa* samples had yield loads as 445 N, 534 N and 267 N respectively as shown in Figures 4-1, 4-2 and 4-3. *Apem pa* samples showed a higher yield load as compared to the *Apantu pa* samples. Figure 4-4, 4-5 and 4-6 of *Apem pa* samples shows yield loads of 801N, 712 N and 712 N respectively. Comparatively, *Apemhemaa* samples had equivalent yield loads as the Apem pa samples. Figures 4-7, 4-8 and 4-9 of the *Apemhemaa* samples obtained yield loads of 712 N.

The ultimate loads of the various samples obtained during the rainy season varied slightly among them. *Apantu pa* samples failed at loads of 890 N, 890 N and 801 N, whiles the *Apem pa* samples failed at loads of 1,290 N, 1,246 N and 1,246 N respectively. A general ultimate load of 1,246 N was recorded for all the *Apemhemaa* samples.

4.1.2 MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

The mechanical properties to be determined are the Young's modulus, yield stress and ultimate stress, which were calculated from the derived equations in Chapter 3 and follow the calculation procedure for *Apantu pa* sample one in the rainy season in Appendix C.

Table 4-1 shows the Young's Modulus, Yield stress and Ultimate stress of all the plantain varieties pseudostem samples in the rainy season. Table 4-2 shows the average calculated values of the mechanical properties for the *Apantu pa*, *Apem pa* and *Apemhemaa* samples.

4.1.2.1 Young's Modulus

In the rainy season, the Young's modulus after the bending test was within the range of 11.71 GPa – 22.75 GPa for the *Apantu pa* samples and the mean is 20.32 GPa. The *Apem pa* samples was in the range of 4.49 GPa – 9.00 GPa, and a mean of 6.18 GPa, whiles the *Apemhemaa* samples was in the range of 7.85 GPa – 12.84 GPa with a mean of 10.35 GPa. Figure 4-10 shows the mean Young's modulus of *Apantu pa*, *Apem pa* and *Apemhemaa* plantain pseudostem samples in the rainy season.

4.1.2.2 Yield Stress

KNUST

The yield stress results in the rainy season depict that the yield stress of the *Apantu pa* was in the range of 0.97 MPa – 1.04 MPa with mean of 1.10 MPa, *Apem pa* was in the range of 0.55 MPa – 0.58 MPa with mean of 0.56 MPa and the *Apemhemaa* recorded a range of 0.69 MPa – 0.89 MPa with mean of 0.81 MPa. Figure 4-11 shows the mean Yield stress of *Apantu pa*, *Apem pa* and *Apemhemaa* plantain pseudostem samples in the rainy season.

4.1.2.3 Ultimate Stress

The ultimate stress of the plantain pseudostem, is the stress beyound which the samples would fail, is within 0.94 MPa – 2.17 MPa for the samples in the rainy season. The ranges obtained in the rainy season are 1.88 MPa – 2.17 MPa for *Apantu pa*, 0.94 MPa – 0.97 MPa for *Apem pa*, and 1.21 MPa – 1.57 MPa for *Apemhemaa*. Figure 4-12 shows the mean ultimate stress values of 1.99 MPa for *Apantu pa*, 0.95 MPa for *Apem pa*, and 1.42 MPa for *Apemhemaa* for the rainy season.

Plantain variety	Samples	Mid span diameter (m)	Young's modulus, E (GPa)	Yield stress, σ _y (MPa)	Ultimate stress, σ_u (MPa)
Apantu pa	1	0.138	11.71	0.97	1.93
	2	0.132	26.49	1.30	2.17
	3	0.131	22.75	1.04	1.88
Apem pa	1	0.166	5.03	0.58	0.94
	2	0.160	9.01	0.55	0.97
	3	0.162	4.49	0.55	0.95
Apem hemaa	1	0.165	10.37	0.69	1.21
	2	0.145	7.85	0.89	1.57
	3	0.153	12.84	0.85	1.48

Table 4-1: Rainy season Mechanical properties of Plantain pseudostem samples



Table 4-2: Average Rainy season Mechanical properties of Plantain pseudostem samples

Plantain	Mid span	Young's	Yield stress, σ_y	Ultimate stress,
variety	diameter (m)	modulus, E	(MPa)	σ_{u}
5		(GPa)		(MPa)
Apantu pa	0.134	20.32	1.10	1.99
Apem pa	0.163	6.18	0.56	0.95
Apem hemaa	0.154	10.35	0.81	1.42



Figure 4-10: Young's modulus of plantain pseudostem samples, Rainy season



Figure 4-11: Yield stress of plantain pseudostem samples, Rainy season



Figure 4-12: Ultimate stress of plantain pseudostem samples, Rainy season

4.2 DRY SEASON

4.2.1 LOAD-DEFLECTION PLOT

After the three point test, the mid span deflections with the corresponding loads were tabulated and use to obtain a plot of load against deflection. The results obtained from the *Apantu pa* samples are shown in Table B-1, Table B-2 and Table B-3 whiles the plots are showed in Figure 4-13, Figure 4-14 and Figure 4-15. The *Apem pa* samples results are also tabulated in Table B-4, Table B-5 and Table B-6 and the respective plots are in Figure 4-16, Figure 4-17 and Figure 4-18. Table B-7, Table B-8 and Table B-9 shows the results of the *Apemhemaa* and Figure 4-19, Figure 4-20 and Figure 4-21 shows the respective plots.



Figure 4-13: Graph of load-deflection for Apantu pa sample one (1), Dry season





Figure 4-14: Graph of load-deflection for *Apantu pa* sample two (2), Dry season



Figure 4-15: Graph of load-deflection for Apantu pa sample three (3), Dry season



Figure 4-16: Graph of load-deflection for Apem pa sample one (1), Dry season



Figure 4-17: Graph of load-deflection for Apem pa sample two (2), Dry season



Figure 4-18: Graph of load-deflection for *Apem pa* sample three (3), Dry season



Figure 4-19: Graph of load-deflection for Apemhemaa sample one (1), Dry season



Figure 4-20: Graph of load-deflection for Apemhemaa sample two (2), Dry season



Figure 4-21: Graph of load-deflection for Apemhemaa sample three (3), Dry season

In the dry season, the yield and ultimate loads reduced significantly for all the samples as compared to that of the rainy season. All three samples of the *Apantu pa* variety had yield loads of 178 N and an ultimate load of 356 N as shown in figures 4-13, 4-14 and 4-15. *Apem pa* samples obtained yield loads of 178 N, 365 N and 178 N respectively and ultimate loads of 356 N, 534 N and 445 N respectively. The hybrid samples of *Apemhemaa* also had yield loads of 311.5 N, 445 N and 178 N with corresponding ultimate loads of 445 N, 534 N and 445 N respectively.

4.2.2 MECHANICAL PROPERTIES KNUST

The Young's modulus, yield stress and ultimate stress were also calculated from the derived equations in chapter 3 and follow the calculation procedure for *Apantu pa* sample one in the rainy season in Appendix C. Table 4-3 and Table 4-4 shows the calculated values and average values of the mechanical properties for the *Apantu pa*, *Apem pa* and *Apemhemaa* samples in the dry season respectively.

4.2.2.1 Young's Modulus

In the dry season, the range of the Young's modulus decreased significantly for all samples. *Apantu pa* recorded a range of 0.78 GPa - 1.75 GPa with a mean of 1.20 GPa, *Apem pa* was in the range of 0.93 GPa - 1.41 GPa with a mean of 1.19 GPa and *Apemhemaa* recorded a range of 0.75 GPa - 1.34 GPa with a mean of 0.98 GPa. Figure 4-22 shows the mean Young's modulus of *Apantu pa*, *Apem pa* and *Apemhemaa* plantain pseudostem samples in the dry season.

4.2.2.2 Yield Stress

There was a reduction in the yield stress of the plantain pseudostem samples for the dry season as compared to the rainy season. For the dry season, *Apantu pa*, *Apem pa* and

Apemhemaa had yield stress in the ranges of 0.24 MPa – 0.26 MPa, 0.22 MPa – 0.32 MPa and 0.31 MPa – 0.39 MPa respectively. The mean yield stresses recorded are 0.26 MPa, 0.26 MPa and 0.35 MPa for *Apantu pa*, *Apem pa* and *Apemhemaa* respectively. Figure 4-23 shows the mean Yield stress of *Apantu pa*, *Apem pa* and *Apemhemaa* plantain pseudostem samples in the dry season.

4.2.2.3 Ultimate Stress

The dry season obtained ultimate stress ranges of 0.48 MPa – 0.53 MPa for *Apantu pa*, 0.37 MPa – 0.64 MPa for *Apem pa* and 0.45 MPa – 0.49 MPa for *Apemhemaa*. The mean ultimate stresses are 0.51 MPa, 0.52 MPa and 0.47 MPa for *Apantu pa*, *Apem pa* and *Apemhemaa* respectively as shown in Figure 4-24.

				7 1 1	
Plantain variety	Samples	Mid span diameter	Young's modulus, E	Yield stress, σ _y (MPa)	Ultimate stress, σ_u
		(m)	(GPa)		(MPa)
Apantu pa	1	0.145	1.75	0.24	0.48
	2	0.153	1.06	0.27	0.53
	3	0.139	0.78	0.26	0.53
Apem pa	1	0.140	1.41	0.32	0.64
	2	0.190	0.93	0.25	0.37
	3	0.152	1.22	0.22	0.56
Apem hemaa	1	0.155	1.34	0.34	0.49
	2	0.171	0.75	0.39	0.47
	3	0.162	0.85	0.31	0.45

Table 4-3: Dry season Mechanical properties of Plantain pseudostem samples

Plantain variety	Mid span diameter (m)	Young's modulus, E (GPa)	Yield stress, σ _y (MPa)	Ultimate stress, σ_u (MPa)
Apantu pa	0.146	1.20	0.25	0.51
Apem pa	0.161	1.19	0.26	0.52
Apem hemaa	0.163	0.98	0.35	0.47

Table 4-4: Average Dry season Mechanical properties of Plantain pseudostem samples



Figure 4-22: Young's modulus of plantain pseudostem samples, Dry season



Figure 4-23: Yield stress of plantain pseudostem samples, Dry season



Figure 4-24: Ultimate stress of plantain pseudostem samples, Dry season

4.3 EFFECTS OF CHANGE IN SEASON ON RESULTS

There was a general reduction of the mechanical values obtained from the rainy season to the dry season. The Young's modulus for the *Apantu pa* samples is 20.32 GPa in the rainy season but is reduced to 1.19 GPa in the dry season. The reduction calculated for the *Apantu pa* samples is 94.10 %. Both the Yield and Ultimate stresses of the *Apantu pa* samples also reduced at 76.80 % and 74.30 % respectively.

Apem pa samples reduced less as compared to the Apantu pa and Apemhemaa. 80.70 % reduction for the Young's modulus, 53.3 % reduction for the Yield stress and 45.20 % reduction for the Ultimate stress.

90.48 %, 57.3 % and 66.98 % are the reduction rates for the Young's modulus, Yield stress and Ultimate stress respectively for the *Apemhemaa*. Figure 4-25, Figure 4-26 and Figure 4-27 compares the values mechanical properties of the rainy season to the dry season for the three plantain pseudostem variety.







Figure 4-26: Rainy and Dry season Yield stress of plantain pseudostem samples



Figure 4-27: Rainy and Dry season Ultimate stress of plantain pseudostem samples

4.4 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Analysis of variance made between Young's Modulus, Yield stress and Ultimate stress, and the plantain varieties are shown in Appendix D.

From Table D-1, analysis of variance of Young's Modulus, there was no significant difference (P>0.05) between the plantain varieties in the same farming season, but there was a significant difference (P<0.05) between the rainy and dry season for the same plantain variety as shown in Table D-2.

For the Yield stress, Table D-3 shows no significant difference (P>0.05) between the plantain varieties in the same farming season, however there was a significant difference (P<0.05) between the rainy and dry season for the same plantain variety as shown in Table D-4.

The analysis of variance performed on the Ultimate stress is shown in Table D-5 and Table D-6. Table D-5 shows no significant difference (P>0.05) between the plantain varieties in the same farming season and Table D-6 shows a significant difference (P<0.05) between the rainy and dry season for the same plantain variety.

4.5 DESIGN WIND PRESSURE AND SPEED

The design wind pressure and basic wind speeds are estimated wind pressure and speeds at which stem lodging of plantain pseudostem will occur. Plantain pseudostems are able to withstand wind loads if the wind load pressure is less than the maximum allowable bending stress of the plantain pseudostem. When the wind load pressure is higher than the maximum allowable bending stress of the plantain pseudostem, stem lodging will occur.

Table 4-5 shows the values of the design wind pressure and basic wind speed for lodging to occur for all samples obtained in the rainy season whiles Table 4-7 shows that of the dry

season. The average design wind pressure and design wind speed for the rainy and dry season are shown in Table 4-6 and Table 4-8 respectively.

Rainy season						
Plantain cultivars	Samples	Mid span dia (m)	Length , Ls (m)	Ultimate stress, σ _u (MPa)	Wind pressure, P (N/m ²)	Design Wind speed,v (m/s)
Apantu pa	1	0.138	2.84	1.93	123.54	22.23
	2	0.132	2.90	2.17	116.41	21.58
	3	0.131	2.67	1.88	116.29	21.57
Apem pa	1	0.166	1.91	0.94	231.73	30.45
	2	0.160	1.85	0.97	227.54	30.17
	3	0.162	1.87	0.95	226.20	30.01
Apem hemaa	1	0.165	2.32	1.21	199.07	28.22
	2	0.145	2.11	1.57	211.26	29.07
	3	0.153	2.27	1.48	201.89	28.42

Table 4-5: Design wind pressure and wind speed for Rainy season

Table 4-6: Average Rainy season wind pressure and design wind speed of samples

Plantain cultivars	Design Wind pressure, P (N/m ²)	Design Wind speed,v (m/s)
Apantu pa	118.75	21.79
Apem pa	228.49	30.23
Apem hemaa	204.07	28.57

Dry season						
Plantain cultivars	Samples	Mid span diameter (m)	Length, Ls (m)	Ultimate stress, σ _u (MPa)	Wind pressure, q _z (N/m ²)	Design Wind speed,v (m/s)
Apantu pa	1	0.145	2.20	0.48	58.81	15.34
	2	0.153	2.67	0.53	52.44	14.48
	3	0.139	2.17	0.53	59.37	15.41
Apem pa	1	0.140	2.53	0.64	53.67	14.65
	2	0.190	2.46	0.37	82.10	18.12
	3	0.152	2.33	0.56	70.91	16.84
Apem hemaa	1	0.155	2.20	0.49	73.52	17.15
	2	0.171	2.32	0.47	85.33	18.47
	3	0.162	2.27	0.45	72.11	16.98

Table 4-7: Design wind pressure and wind speed for Dry season

Table 4-8: Average Dry season wind pressure and design wind speed of samples

Plantain cultivars	Design Wind pressure, P (N/m ²)	Design Wind speed,v (m/s)
Apantu pa	56.87	15.08
Apem pa	68.89	16.54
Apem hemaa	76.98	17.59

The design wind pressure and speed are the estimated wind pressure and speed that would cause pseudostem lodging. The plantain pseudostems will fail or break when their ultimate stress is exceeded; therefore, the design wind pressure and speed was obtained using the ultimate stress values of the selected plantain varieties.

In the rainy season, the wind speed expected to cause failure of the plantain pseudostem ranges between 21.57 m/s and 30.44 m/s. *Apantu pa* samples, as compared to the two varieties, would need a lesser average wind speed of 21.79 m/s whiles *Apem pa* samples would require a higher average wind speed of 30.23 m/s.

However, in the dry season, wind speeds of range 14.48 m/s - 18.47 m/s is expected to cause plantain pseudostem lodging. *Apemhemma* is expected to be more resilient to wind damage than the other two varieties with an average wind speed of 17.59 m/s.



CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 CONCLUSIONS

The effects of the change in season on the mechanical properties covered in this work were very significant. For all three varieties covered, *Apantu pa Apem pa* and *Apemhemaa*, the pseudostem's Young's modulus, Yield stress and Ultimate stress were higher in the rainy season than they were in the dry season.

From the test results, *Apantu pa* pseudostem samples showed the highest value for all the three mechanical properties studied during the rainy season whiles in the dry season, it recorded the lowest yield stress.

Tests results obtained in the dry season showed that the *Apem pa* pseudostem samples have the highest ultimate stress values. *Apem pa* pseudostem samples also recorded the least values for the Young's modulus, Yield stress and Ultimate stress in the rainy season.

Of all the test results obtained in both the rainy season and dry season, *Apemhemaa* pseudostem samples showed average values in the mechanical properties studied as compared to the other two varieties.

The variety of plantain pseudostem that had the lowest mechanical properties values of the three varieties in the rainy season is the *Apem pa* whiles the variety with the highest mechanical properties values is the *Apantu pa*.

The analysis of variance performed on the three mechanical properties between the plantain varieties and the farming season showed that for all three mechanical property tested for, there was no significant difference between the plantain varieties in the same season. However, for Young's Modulus, Yield stress and Ultimate stress, there was significant difference between the rainy and dry season for the same plantain variety.

5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

After the study, the following recommendations were made;

- During the dry season, the moisture contents in the plantain pseudostem decreases significantly. Farmers should irrigates the plantain plants in the dry season to increase the moisture contents which would increase the mechanical strength of the plantain pseudostem.
- 2. Further studies should be conducted on this study. The following factors should be considered and measured;
 - a. Density of plantain pseudostem samples
 - b. Moisture contents of plantain pseudostem samples
 - c. Internal structure of plantain pseudostem samples
 - d. Center of gravity of plantain pseudostem samples
 - e. Uniform dimensions of plantain pseudostem samples


REFERENCES

- 1. Andersons, J. Spārniņŝ, E. Joffe, R. Wallstrom, L. (2004), *Strength distribution of elementary flax fibers*. Composites Science and Technology. 65, 693-702. 2005
- ASCE (2006), *Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and other Structure*, ASCE 7-05, American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), USA
- 3. Asoegwu, S. N. Nwandikom, G. I. and Nwammuo O. P. (1998), *Some mechanical properties of Plantain fruit*. International Agrophysics, 12, 67-77.
- 4. AQUASTAT (2005), *Characteristics of Agro-ecological zones in Ghana*. Ghana AQUASTAT.
- Banful, B. (1996), Morphology and Physiology of Plantain of Plantain. Crop Research Institute (CRI), Crop Management Research Training Guide, 68.
- Banful, B. Hauser, S. Ofori, K.O. and Kumaga, F. (2008), Nematodes and weeds control effects of <u>Pueraria phaseoloides</u> and <u>Flemingia marcrophylla</u>, fallow on establishment, survival and yield of plantain. West African Journal of Applied Ecology, vol. 14.
- Basavarajaiah, B. S. and Mahadevappa, P. (2001). *Strength of Materials*, Second edition. CBS Publishers and Distributors
- Biederlack, L. and Rivers, J. (2008), *Comprehensive Food Security and Vulnerability Analysis (CFSVA), Ghana.* VAM Food Security, World Food Programme (WFP), April, 2009
- BN 3201-2 Laboratory Work. (2008), 3-Point Bending Test of Long Bone. Division of Bioengineering, National University of Singapore.
- 10. Britannica Concise Encyclopedia, (2008). *Plantain*. Encyclopedia Britannic Inc.
- 11. Buyukozturk, O. (2003), Calculation of wind and earthquake loads on structures according to ASCE 7 and IBC. Structural Engineering Design, 1.051.
- CILSS (2010). Pre-harvest assessment of the 2009/2010 cropping season. Comité Inter-Etate pour la Lutte Contre la Sécheresse au Sahel (CILSS), Consultant's Report, Ghana.
- 13. Crane, J. H. and Balerdi, C. F. (1998), *The Banana in Florida*. Institute of Food and Agricultural Science, HS 10.
- CRI Library (2010), Papers and Journals on Plantain. Crop Research Institute (CRI).
 Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (C.S.I.R), Kumasi, Ghana

- 15. Daniells, J. Englberger, L. and Lorens, A. (2010) Farm and forestry production and marketing profile for banana and plantain. Specialty Crops for Pacific Island Agroforestry, viewed 13th October 2010, < <u>http://agroforestry.net/scps</u>
- Dankyi, A.A., Dzomeku, B. M., Anno-Nyako, F.O., Adu-Appiah, A and Gyamera, A. (2007), *Plantain Production Practices in the Ashanti, Brong Ahafo and Eastern Regions in Ghana*. Asia Journal of Agricultural Research 1(1): 1-9.
- Dinesh, K. and Pandey, V. (2010), *Relationship of pseudostem cross-sectional area* with bunch weight, fruit quality and nutrient status in banana cv. Rasthali (Pathkapoora-AAB). Indian Journal of Horticulture, volume: 67, issue 1.
- DSA (2007), Wind loads determination Alternate method. Division of State Architect (DSA). Interpretation of Regulations (I.R) 16-7, USA
- Dzomeku, B. M. Akomah, A. A. Quain, M. D. Lamptey, J. N. L. Anno-Nyako F. O. and Aubyn, A. (2007), *Agronomic evaluation of some IITA Musa hybrids in Ghana*. African Crop Science Conference Proceedings. Vol. 8. Pp 55-562.
- 20. Dzomeku, B. M. Ankomah, A. A. and Darkey, S. K. (2009), Agronomic Performance of Two Tetraploid Hybrid Plantains in Ghana. Agricultural Consepectus Scientificus, vol. 74, No: 4, 309-312.
- Dzomeku, B. M. Armo-Annor, F. Adjei-Gyan, K. Ansah, J. Nkakwa, A. and Darkey, S. K. (2008), On-farm evaluation and consumer acceptability study of selected tetraploid Musa hybrid in Ghana. Journal of Plant Sciences 3(2): 216-233.
- Dzomeku, B. M. Armo-Annor, F. Adjei-Gyan, K. and Darkey, S. K. (2007), *Consumer preference for three selected Musa hybrids in Ghana*. American Journal of Food Technology, 2(7): 684-688.
- 23. Dzomeku, B. M. Quain, M. D. Lamptey, J. N. L. Anno-Nyako, F. O. Aubyn, A. and Darkey, S. K. (2008), *Agronomic and Sensory Evaluation of some IITA*. International Journal of Agricultural Research, 2(3): 307-311.
- Dzomeku, B. M. Osei-Owusu, M. Ankomah, A. A. Akyeampong, E. and Darkey, S. K. (2006), *Sensory Evaluation of some cooking bananas in Ghana*. Journal of Applied Sciences, 6(4): 835-837.
- Dzomeku, B. M. Bam, R. K. Adu-Kwarteng, E. Darkey, S. K. and Ankomah, A. A. (2007), *Agronomic and Physio-Chemical Evaluation of FHIA-21 in Ghana*. International Journal of Agricultural Research, 2(1): 92-96.

- 26. Dzomeku, B. M. Darkey, S. K. Bam, R. K. and Ankomah, A. A. (2007), Sensory Evaluation of four FHIA Tetraploid hybrids for Kaakle (a local dish) in Ghana. Journal of Plant Sciences, 2(6):640-643.
- FAO (1996), Ghana: Country report to the international technical conference on plant genetic resources. Food and Agricultural Organisation of The United Nations (FAO). Leipzig.
- FAO (2006), *Statistic Division*, Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO). Rome, Italy.
- 29. Hauser, S. (2006). Plantain (Musa spp. AAB) bunch yield and root health response to combinations of physical, thermal and chemical sucker sanitation measures.Conference on International Agricultural Research for Development.
- 30. Hemang, O. B. Odura, K. A. Ofori, I. and Banful, B. (undated), *Plantain production in Ghana*. National Plantain Research Team (NPRT), National Plantain Research Project (NARP), Council for Science and Industrial Research (CSIR), Accra, Ghana
- 31. ISO (2010). ISO 178, Plastics Deformation of flexural properties. International Organisation of Standards (ISO), viewed 23th November 2010, <<u>http://:www.iso.org/../catalogue_detail.htm</u>
- 32. Langhe, E. D. (1995), *Banana and Plantain; the earliest fruit crops?* International Network for the Improvement of Banana and Plantain (INIBAP), Montpellier (FRA), pp 6-8, (1996).
- Liu, H. Wu, Q. Zhang, Q. (2008), Preparation and properties of banana fiberreinforced composites based on high density polyethylene (HDPE)/ Nylon-6 blends. Bioresource Technology: 100, 6088-6097, (2009).
- 34. Maleque, M. A. Belal, F. Y. and Sapuan, S. M. (2006), *Mechanical properties' study* of pseudostem banana fiber reinforced epoxy composite. The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, vol 32, number 213.
- 35. Martinez, J. L. R. and Saavedra, A. R. (2001), *Socioeconomic aspects of plantain cultivation in Colombia*. INFOMUSA; vol 10, No 1, pp4-8.
- 36. Mendis, P. Ngo, T. Haritos, N. Hira, A. Samali, B. and Cheung, J. (2007), *Wind Loading on Tall Buildings*. Electronic Journal of Structural Engineering (EJSE).
- Mukhopadhyay, S. Frangueiro, R. Arpac, Y. and Senturk, U. (2008), *Banana fibers variability and fracture behavior*. Journal of Engineered Fibers and Fabrics, vol 3, issue 2.

- 38. Nelson, S. C. Ploetz, R. C. and Kepler, A. K. (2006), *Musa species (banana and plantain)*. Species Profile for Pacific Island Agroforestry, ver 2.2.
- Quimby, T. B. (2007), A Begineer's Guide to ASCE 7-05. Wind Loads, American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) Provisions.
- 40. Schill, P. Afreh-Nuamah, K. Gold, C. Ullzen-Apiah, F. Kwesi, E. P. Preprah, S. A. and Twumasi, J. K. (1995), *Farmers Perception of Constraints in Plantain Production in Ghana*. Plan Health Management Research Monograph, number 5
- 41. Sugri, I. and Johnson, P. N. T. (2009). Effect of two storage methods on the keeping and sensory qualities of four plantain varieties. African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development. Vol. 9, No 4.
- 42. Swennen, R. and Oritz, R. (1997), *Morphology and Growth of Plantain and Banana*. Research Guide 66, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA).
- Tenkouano, A. Ortiz R. and Baiyeri. K.P. (2001), *Phenotypic and Genetic correlations in Musa populations in Nigeria*. African Crop Science Journal, vol.10. No:2, pp121-132.
- 44. Tenkouano, A. Oselebe, H.O. and Ortiz, R. (2010), *Selection efficiency in Musa L. under different cropping systems*. Australian Journal of Crop Science (AJCS) 4(2): 74-80.
- 45. Tropical Horticulture, (2010), *Bananas and Plantain*. Texas A&M University. http://:www.aggie-horticulture.tamu.edu/syllabi/423/3-2Bananas. Viewed 1st October, 2010.
- UNCST (2007), *The Biology of Bananas and Plantains*. Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (UNCST), Program for Biosafety System (PBS).
- 47. Wikipedia (2010), *Banana*. <u>http//en.wikipedia.org/wiki/banana</u>. Viewed 1st October, 2010
- Wikipedia (2010), *Plantain*. <u>http//en.wikipedia.org/wiki/plantain</u>. Viewed 1st October, 2010
- Wikipedia (2010), Wind. <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/wind</u>. Viewed 22nd November, 2010
- Wikipedia (2010), *Three point flexural test*. <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/flexuraltest</u>.
 Viewed 22nd November, 2010

APPENDIX A: Load-Deflection Tables for the Rainy Season

Apantu pa sample one (1)	
Force (N)	Deflection (mm)
0	28.0
178	28.2
266	28.2
356	28.4
445	28.5
534	28.7
623	28.9
712	29.2
801	29.4
890	29.6

Table A-1: Load-Deflection of *Apantu pa* pseudostem sample one (1), Rainy season

Table A-2: Load-Deflection of *Apantu pa* pseudostem sample two (2), Rainy season

Apantu pa sample two (2)	
Force (N)	Deflection (mm)
0	28.5
178	28.6
266	28.7
356	28.8
445	28.8
534	28.8
623	28.85
712	28.88
801	28.9
890	29.1

Apantu pa sample three (3)	
Force (N)	Deflection (mm)
0	28.3
178	28.4
266	28.5
356	28.7
445	28.9
534	29.2
623	29.5
712	30.0
801	30.3

Table A-3: Load-Deflection of Apantu pa pseudostem sample three (3), Rainy season

Table A-4: Load-Deflection of Apem pa pseudostem sample one (1), Rainy season

March.	
Apem pa sample one (1)	
Force (N)	Deflection (mm)
0	29.0
801	29.2
890	29.4
979	29.7
1,068	30.0
1,112	30.1
1,157	30.6
1,201	31.7
1,246	37.5
1,290	41.2

Apem pa sample (2)	
Force (N)	Deflection (mm)
0	29.0
712	29.1
801	29.3
890	29.5
979	29.9
1,068	30.1
1,112	30.3
1,157	30.7
1,201	31.8
1,246	38.2

Table A-5: Load-Deflection of Apem pa pseudostem sample two (2), Rainy season

Table A-6: Load-Deflection of Apem pa pseudostem sample three (3), Rainy season

Apem pa sample three (3)	
Force (N)	Deflection (mm)
0	29.0
712	29.2
801	29.3
890	29.6
979	29.9
1,068	30.0
1,112	30.3
1,157	30.6
1,201	31.4
1,246	32.8

Apemhemaa sample one (1)	
Force (N)	Deflection (mm)
0	28.7
712	28.9
801	29.2
890	29.5
979	29.9
1,068	30.1
1,112	30.4
1,157	30.7
1,201	31.5
1,246	35.9

Table A-7: Load-Deflection of Apemhemaa pseudostem sample (1), Rainy season

Table A-8: Load-Deflection of Apemhemaa pseudostem sample two (2), Rainy season

Apemhemaa sample two (2)	
Force (N)	Deflection (mm)
0	28.5
712	28.8
801	29.1
890	29.4
979	29.6
1,068	29.9
1,112	30.3
1,157	30.6
1,201	32.0
1,246	37.1

Apemhemaa sample three (3)	
Force (N)	Deflection (mm)
0	28.5
712	28.7
801	28.9
890	29.2
979	29.7
1,068	30.0
1,112	30.2
1,157	30.7
1,201	33.0
1,246	36.3

Table A-9: Load-Deflection of Apemhemaa pseudostem sample three (3), Rainy season



APPENDIX B: Load-Deflection Tables for the Dry Season

Apantu pa sample one (1)	
Force (N)	Deflection (mm)
0	23.5
89	23.7
178	24.0
266	24.6
356	37.3

Table B-1: Load-Deflection of Apantu pa pseudostem sample one (1), Dry season

Table B-2: Load-Deflection of Apantu pa pseudostem sample two (2), Dry season

Apantu pa sample two (2)	
Force (N)	Deflection (mm)
0	26.1
89	26.7
178	27.6
266	29.2
311	31.0
356	37.3
S	

Table B-3: Load-Deflection of *Apantu pa* pseudostem sample three (3), Dry season

Apantu pa sample three (3)	
Force (N)	Deflection (mm)
0	25.0
89	25.5
178	26.1
266	26.9
356	27.7

Apem pa sample one (1)			
Force (N)	Deflection (mm)		
0	25.0		
89	25.4		
178	25.8		
266	26.4		
311	26.8		
356	27.3		

Table B-4: Load-Deflection of Apem pa pseudostem sample one (1), Dry season

KNUST

Table B-5: Load-Deflection of Apem pa pseudostem sample two (2), Dry season

Apem pa sample two (2)			
Force (N)	Deflection (mm)		
0	25.0		
89	25.2		
178	25.4		
266	25.6		
356	25.6		
445	26.3		
534	27.5		

Apem pa sample three (3)			
Force (N)	Deflection (mm)		
0	25.1		
89	25.3		
178	25.4		
266	25.7		
356	26.0		
445	26.9		

Table B-6: Load-Deflection of Apem pa pseudostem sample three (3), Dry season

KNUST

Table B-7: Load-Deflection of Apemhemaa pseudostem sample one (1), Dry season

Apemhemaa sample one (1)			
Force (N)	Deflection (mm)		
0	24.0		
89	24.2		
178	24.5		
266	24.8		
311 <	25.0		
356	25.4		
401	26.0		
445	26.8		

Apemhemaa sample two (2)					
Force (N)	Deflection (mm)				
0	25.7				
89	26.0				
178	26.2				
266	26.4				
356	26.5				
445	26.5				
534	26.8				
KNUST					

Table B-8: Load-Deflection of Apemhemaa pseudostem sample two (2), Dry season

Table B-9: Load-Deflection of *Apemhemaa* pseudostem sample three (3), Dry season

Apemhemaa sample three (3)				
Force (N)	Deflection (mm)			
0	24.5			
89	24.8			
178	25.0			
266	27.2			
356	28.5			
445	29.2			

APPENDIX C: Calculation Procedure

Young's Modulus, Yield Stress and Ultimate Stress

The Young's Modulus, yield stress and ultimate stress of *Apantu pa* sample one, Rainy season, is calculated below.

Data:

Length of specimen, Ls = 2.84 m

Length of span, L = 2.24 m

Diameter at tip, d1 = 0.057 m

Diameter at base, d2 = 0.219 m

Yield force, $f_y = 445$ N

Ultimate force, $f_u = 890 \text{ N}$

Calculation:

Using

219 m KNUST

Second moment of area, I;



Design Wind Pressure and Design Wind Speed

The Design Wind Pressure and Design Wind Speed_expected to topple the *Apantu pa* sample one of the Rainy season sample;



APPENDIX D: Statistical Analysis

Analysis of Variance

Table D-1: Analysis of variance between the Plantain varieties for Young's Modulus

Anova: Single Factor

SUMMARY				
Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Row 1	2	221.2867	110.6433	19334.96
Row 2	2	76.90333	38.45167	1246.17
Row 3	2	115.92	57.96	4584.35

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	5578.497	2	2789.248	0.332509	0.740573	9.552094
Within Groups	25165.48	3	8388.492			
Total	30743.97	5	12			



Table D-2: Analysis of variance betw	een the Rainy and Dry seasons for the Young's
Modulus	
Anovo Cingle Factor	

Anova: Single Factor	E			
SUMMARY	67	02	<	AND A
Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Column 1	3	378.2167	126.0722	5603.551
Column 2	3	35.89333	11.96444	2.996159

ANOVA						
Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	19530.88	1	19530.88	6.967167	0.057608	7.708647
Within Groups	11213.1	4	2803.274			
Total	30743.97	5				

Table D-3: Analysis of variance between the Plantain varieties for Yield Stress

Anova: Single Factor

SL	JN	IM	А	RY
50			<i>'</i> `	

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Row 1	2	43.93	21.965	370.3735
Row 2	2	26.58667	13.29333	45.95209
Row 3	2	35.88667	17.94333	132.9537

ANOVA

Source of						
Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	75.3294		2 37.6647	0.205713	0.824665	9.552094
Within Groups	549.2793	3	3 183.0931	ICT		
			$\langle NU \rangle$	121		
Total	62 4.6087	Į	5			
			<u> </u>			



Table D-4: Analysis of varian	ce between the Rainy	y and Dry seasons	for the Yield Stress
Anova: Single Factor	Alberto		

SUMMARY	1	N e	~~~	
Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Column 1	3	79.75667	26.58556	76.62514
Column 2	3	26.64667	8.882222	0.623181

ANOVA						
Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	470.112	1	470.112	12.17145	0.025151	7.708647
Within Groups	154.4966	4	38.62416			
Total	624.6087	5				

Table D-5: Analysis of variance between the Plantain varieties for Ultimate Stress
Anova: Single Factor

SUMMARY

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Row 1	2	80.75	40.375	1138.122
Row 2	2	47.67333	23.83667	96.3272
Row 3	2	60.74333	30.37167	467.874

ANOVA

Source of	66			-		5
Variation	55	aŗ	IVIS	F	P-value	F Crit
Between Groups	277.5262		2 138.7631	0.244542	0.797287	9.552094
Within Groups	1702.323		3 567.4411	JST		
Total	1979.85		5			
			1			



Table D-6: Analysis of variance between the Rainy and Dry seasons for the Ultim	ate
Stress	

SUMMARY	IN RES			- Start
Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Column 1	3	140.6733	46.89111	280.9058
Column 2	3	48.49333	16.16444	0.922915

ANOVA Source of Variation df SS MS F P-value F crit Between Groups 1416.192 1 1416.192 10.05002 0.033852 7.708647 Within Groups 4 140.9144 563.6575 1979.85 5 Total